EXHIBIT 10

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
4			
5	CHASOM BROWN, MARIA NGUYEN, AND) C-20-03664 LHK WILLIAM BYATT, INDIVIDUALLY AND)		
6	ON BEHALF OF ALL SIMILARLY) SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA SITUATED,		
7) APRIL 21, 2022 PLAINTIFF,)		
8) PAGES 1-220 VS.		
9	GOOGLE LLC AND ALPHABET INC.,)		
10	DEFENDANTS.)		
11			
12			
13	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE SUSAN VAN KEULEN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
14			
15			
16	APPEARANCES:		
17	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP		
18	BY: DAVID BOIES 333 MAIN STREET ARMONK, NEW YORK 10504		
19			
20	BY: MARK C. MAO 44 MONTGOMERY STREET, 41ST FLOOR SAN ERANGISCO CALLEGRADA 04104		
21	SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94104		
22	APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE		
23	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: LEE-ANNE SHORTRIDGE, CSR, CRR		
24	CERTIFICATE NUMBER 9595		
25	PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY MECHANICAL STENOGRAPHY TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED WITH COMPUTER		

1		
2	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)	
3		
4	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
5		BY: ALISON L. ANDERSON 725 S. FIGUEROA STREET, 31ST FLOOR LOC ANGELES CALLEDRALA 00017
6		LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017
7		MORGAN & MORGAN BY: JOHN A. YANCHUNIS
8		RYAN J. MCGEE 201 N. FRANKLIN STREET, 7TH FLOOR
9		TAMPA, FLORIDA 33602
10		
11	FOR THE DEFENDANTS:	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN BY: ANDREW H. SCHAPIRO
12		191 N. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 2700 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60606
13		chirches, individual constant
14		BY: VIOLA TREBICKA 865 S. FIGUEROA STREET, 10TH FLOOR
15		LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017
16		BY: JOSEF ANSORGE 1300 I. STREET, N.W., SUITE 900
17		WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005
18	ALSO PRESENT:	DOUGLAS BRUSH TIMOTHY SCHMIDT
19		TONI BAKER NORA PUCKETT
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MS. TREBICKA: MR. LIAO, MY QUESTION, AND I THINK THE QUESTION THAT OTHERS ARE ASKING AS WELL, IS WHY WOULD YOU USE THAT THEN? WHY WOULD YOU USE THE ABSENCE OF THE X-CLIENT DATA HEADER TO DETERMINE THE APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF INCOGNITO TRAFFIC? BECAUSE THE PURPOSE OF THE EXERCISE, AGAIN, IS TO, IS TO APPROXIMATE THE POTENTIAL REVENUE IMPACT. WE DIDN'T REQUIRE AN EXACT NUMBER, AND IT WAS DETERMINED THAT THE ABSENCE OF THE X-CLIENT DATA HEADER WAS A PROCESS SIGNAL THAT CAN GIVE US THAT APPROXIMATE APPROXIMATION TO THE REVENUE, POTENTIAL REVENUE IMPACT. AS PART OF YOUR ANALYSIS IN THIS PROJECT, DID YOUR TEAM EVER ATTEMPT TO IDENTIFY PARTICULAR INCOGNITO USERS? Α. NO. RECALL THAT WE STARTED THIS EXAMINATION WHEN I ASKED YOU ABOUT THE MAYBE CHROME INCOGNITO BIT. WHO CREATED THE CHROME, THE MAYBE CHROME INCOGNITO BIT? I BELIEVE BERT AND MANDY CREATED THE BIT. Α. Q. AND IS THAT BERT LEUNG AND MANDY LIU? Α. YES. Ο. WHY DID THEY CREATE THE BIT? MY UNDERSTANDING IS THE BIT WAS CREATED, OR THE FIELD IN THE LOG WAS CREATED TO POWER A DASHBOARD WHOSE PURPOSE IS TO MONITOR OR KEEP AN EYE ON THE SLICE OF TRAFFIC WHERE WE THINK

THIRD PARTY COOKIES MAY BE BLOCKED IN AD QUERIES.

1 IS -- WAS THIS A CONTINUATION OF THE PROJECT THAT WE Q. 2 TALKED ABOUT THAT YOU SAID STARTED IN 2019? 3 YES. Α. 4 AND WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF THIS CONTINUATION OF THE 5 PROJECT? 6 THE CONTINUATION, THE PURPOSE OF CONTINUING THAT IS STILL 7 TO APPROXIMATELY MONITOR THE SLICE OF TRAFFIC AND THE POTENTIAL 8 REVENUE IMPACT OF THIRD PARTY COOKIE BLOCKING, AND ALSO HOW THE 9 SLICE OF TRAFFIC CHANGES OVER TIME. 10 NOW, DO YOU UNDERSTAND, AT A HIGH LEVEL, HOW THE 11 MAYBE CHROME INCOGNITO BIT WORKS? 12 I HAVE A HIGH LEVEL UNDERSTANDING OF IT. 13 0. COULD YOU EXPLAIN? MY UNDERSTANDING OF HOW THIS BIT IS COMPUTED IS THAT IT 14 15 TAKES IN, AS INPUT, TWO PIECES OF INFORMATION: ONE IS THE 16 ABSENCE OF THE X-CLIENT DATA HEADER; THE OTHER IS THE USER 17 AGENT HEADER. 18 NOW, HOW DOES THIS LOGIC THAT YOU JUST EXPLAINED FOR THE 19 MAYBE CHROME INCOGNITO BIT DIFFER FROM THE LOGIC THAT YOU USED 20 IN THE INITIAL PART OF THE PROJECT IN 2019? 21 MY UNDERSTANDING IS THEY'RE THE SAME. Α. 22 IS THE MAYBE CHROME --Ο. 23 THE COURT: THAT'S TIME. LAST QUESTION. 2.4 MS. TREBICKA: YES, UNDERSTOOD, YOUR HONOR.

MAY I HAVE A SECOND?

25

1	THE COURT: OKAY.	
2	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)	
3	BY MS. TREBICKA:	
4	Q. IS THE MAYBE_CHROME_INCOGNITO BIT A DEDICATED SIGNAL FOR	
5	INCOGNITO MODE?	
6	A. NO.	
7	MS. TREBICKA: NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.	
8	THE COURT: THANK YOU.	
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION.	
10	MR. YANCHUNIS: EXHIBIT 103, PLEASE.	
11	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
12	BY MR. YANCHUNIS:	
13	Q. MR. LIAO, I'D LIKE TO SHOW YOU A DOCUMENT THAT HAS BEEN	
14	MARKED AS EXHIBIT 103.	
15	DO YOU HAVE THAT IN FRONT OF YOU ON THE SCREEN?	
16	A. YES.	
17	Q. OKAY. THIS IS AN EMAIL STRING THAT STARTS WITH THE FIRST	
18	EMAIL ON THE LAST PAGE AND THEN BUILDS BACK TO THE FIRST PAGE	
19	CHRONOLOGICALLY; CORRECT?	
20	A. MAY I?	
21	CAN YOU SCROLL UP SO THAT I CAN MAKE SURE IT'S	
22	CHRONOLOGICAL?	
23	Q. I'LL HAVE THAT DONE FOR YOU.	
24	A. YES, IT APPEARS THAT WAY.	
25	Q. OKAY. ON THE PAGE ENDING WITH THE NUMBER 293, REFERENCING	

1		
2		
3	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	
4		
5		
6		
7	I, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER OF THE UNITED	
8	STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA,	
9	280 SOUTH FIRST STREET, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY	
10	CERTIFY:	
11	THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT, CERTIFICATE INCLUSIVE, IS	
12	A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS IN THE	
13	ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.	
14		
15	Landen State	
16	LEE-ANNE SHORTRIDGE, CSR, CRR	
17	CERTIFICATE NUMBER 9595	
18	DATED: APRIL 29, 2022	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		